

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

AKERSON ENTERPRISES LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SHENZHEN CONGLIN E-  
COMMERCE CO. LTD. et al ,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 24-cv-00506

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO  
DISMISS AS MOOT

Defendants' motion to dismiss certain claims comes before the Court. Dkt. No. 16. After Defendants moved to dismiss, Plaintiff Akerson Enterprises LLC filed an amended complaint, and Defendants answered it. Dkt. Nos. 21; 25. Because "an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint and renders it without legal effect," Defendants' motion to dismiss is moot. *Lacey v. Maricopa Cnty.*, 693 F.3d 896, 927 (9th Cir. 2012) ("Courts often apply this rule to motions to dismiss a complaint that has since been superseded and deny such motions as moot." (citation omitted)).

Accordingly, the Court DENIES Defendants' motion to dismiss certain claims as moot. Dkt. No. 16.

1 IT IS SO ORDERED.

2 Dated this 30th day of May, 2025.

3   
4 Jamal N. Whitehead  
5 United States District Judge  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23